Population grew by 32% and GDP doubled (with high fluctuations in-between).

Per-capita domestic extraction, domestic material consumption and material footprint slightly decreased. Domestic material consumption and material footprint fell below G20 average.

In 2015, domestic extraction was 15 tonnes per capita while material footprint was 9 tonnes per capita. This is due to South Africa’s status as a resource exporting nation.

Material related environmental impacts decoupled from GDP.

From a production perspective, climate change impacts related to material extraction and processing increased and were about 50% higher than the G20 average.

From a consumption perspective, climate change impacts related to material extraction and processing were similar to the G20 average.
Unlike the G20 average, fossils dominated domestic extraction amounts, followed by biomass and metals. Most of the material footprint was caused by biomass.

The extraction and processing of natural resources accounted for almost 70% of South Africa’s total climate change impacts from a production perspective and 60% from a consumption perspective (the G20 average was approximately 50% from both perspectives).

Outdoor particulate matter related health impacts mainly came from households (use of solid fuels for cooking).

In line with other G20 countries, South Africa’s water stress and land use-related biodiversity impacts were caused mainly by biomass production.

The material sector contributed more than 30% to value added from a production perspective and about 25% from a consumption perspective. This is higher than the G20 average (less than 20%).

**Glossary**

**Consumption perspective:** The consumption perspective allocates the use of natural resources or the related impacts throughout the supply chain to the region where these resources, incorporated in various commodities, are finally consumed by industries, governments and households.

**Decoupling:** Decoupling is when resource use or some environmental pressure either grows at a slower rate than the economic activity that is causing it (relative decoupling) or declines while the economic activity continues to grow (absolute decoupling).

**Domestic extraction (DE):** Direct, gross physical extraction of materials within a country’s territory (production perspective).

**Domestic material consumption (DMC):** Amount of materials directly used by an economy (DMC = DE + Material Imports – Material Exports).

**Material resources:** - metals, - non-metallic minerals, - biomass, - fossils.
**Figure 4: Climate change impacts from material sectors in South Africa (1995-2015)**

- Material-related climate change impacts were mainly caused by coal mining, plastics manufacturing, production of chemicals, and cattle farming.
- Material-related climate change impacts remained more than 50% higher than the G20 average from a production perspective.
- From a consumption perspective, material-related climate change impacts were similar to the G20 average. This is due to emissions caused by the extraction and processing of materials that are exported.

**Figure 5: Water stress from agricultural crop and material sectors in South Africa (1995-2015)**

- South Africa has many water-scarce regions, but overall water stress impacts are lower than the G20 average and declined over time.
- Water stress was dominated by the production of vegetables, fruits, nuts, and wheat.

**Figure 6: Land-use related biodiversity loss from agricultural crops and material sectors in South Africa (1995-2015)**

- Land use related biodiversity loss was much higher than the G20 average, caused mostly by beef and dairy production.

---

**Key Sectors and Resources**

**Material footprint (MF):** A nation’s MF fully accounts for material extraction in other countries used for local consumption in the nation of interest (consumption perspective)

**Material intensity (MI):** Indicates efficiency of material use (MI = DMC/GDP)

**Material-related impacts:** Impacts related to the extraction and processing of material resources (including the upstream supply chain, such as electricity generation and transport)

**Net traded materials/impacts:** Difference between material-related impacts from a production and consumption perspective. In the case of environmental impacts, a positive value means that the material-related impacts from exports are greater than the impacts from imports (and vice-versa: environmental impacts with negative values mean that the material-related impacts from imports are greater than the impacts from exports)

**Production perspective:** The production perspective allocates the use of natural resources or the impacts related to natural resource extraction and processing to the location where they physically occur
The environmental effects of trade

Figure 7: Per-capita consumption footprints (above) and net traded impacts (below) in South Africa (1995-2015)*

South Africa is a net exporter of all material types.

More climate change impacts were caused by material exports than by material imports.

More water stress was caused from imports than exports, due to imports of biomass from water-scarce countries.

For all material types but fossils, material trade created net value added within South Africa. For fossils, cheap resources were exported (e.g. coal) while more expensive ones were imported.

Future trends and potential Decoupling

South Africa suffers from particulate matter pollution caused by resource use. Lowering solid fuel burning in households and improving fuels are essential steps to decrease pollution.

The electricity mix relies heavily on coal. More renewables could decrease the environmental impacts of material processing.

A large build-up of infrastructure is anticipated in the next decades. This will result in enhanced resource demands and environmental impacts. Material efficient urban design is therefore critical.